

# **Exhibit 7**

**LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &  
BERNSTEIN, LLP**

Joy A. Kruse (State Bar No. 142799)  
Katherine C. Lubin (State Bar No. 259826)  
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339  
Telephone: (415) 956-1000  
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008

*Liaison Counsel*

**LABATON SUCHAROW LLP**

Jonathan Gardner (*pro hac vice*)  
Carol C. Villegas (*pro hac vice*)  
Alec T. Coquin (*pro hac vice*)  
140 Broadway  
New York, NY 10005  
Telephone: (212) 907-0700  
Facsimile: (212) 818-0477

*Co-Lead Counsel for the Class*

**MOTLEY RICE LLC**

James M. Hughes (*pro hac vice*)  
William S. Norton (*pro hac vice*)  
Max N. Gruetzmacher (*pro hac vice*)  
Michael J. Pendell (*pro hac vice*)  
28 Bridgeside Blvd.  
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464  
Telephone: (843) 216-9000  
Facsimile: (843) 216-9450

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION**

BABAK HATAMIAN and LUSSA DENNJ  
SALVATORE, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,  
RORY P. READ, THOMAS J. SEIFERT,  
RICHARD A. BERGMAN, AND LISA T.  
SU,

Defendants.

**CASE NO. 4:14-cv-00226-YGR (JSC)**

**CLASS ACTION**

**DECLARATION OF STANLEY D.  
BERNSTEIN FILED ON BEHALF OF  
BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD LLP IN  
SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR  
AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND  
EXPENSES**

Date: Feb. 27, 2018  
Time: 2:00 p.m.  
Place: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor  
Judge: The Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 I, Stanley D. Bernstein, declare as follows, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

2  
3 1. I am a founding partner of the law firm Bernstein Liebhard LLP (“Bernstein  
4 Liebhard”). I am submitting this declaration in support of my firm’s application for an award of  
5 attorneys’ fees and expenses/charges (“expenses”) in connection with services rendered in the  
6 above-entitled action (the “Action”).

7  
8 2. This firm is counsel of record for plaintiff Oklahoma Firefighters Pension &  
9 Retirement System.

10 3. The information in this declaration regarding the firm’s time and expenses is  
11 taken from time and expense reports prepared and/or maintained by the firm in the ordinary  
12 course of business. These reports were reviewed in connection with the preparation of this  
13 declaration. The purpose of this review was to confirm both the accuracy of the entries in the  
14 reports as well as the necessity for, and reasonableness of, the time and expenses committed to  
15 the litigation. As a result of this review, reductions were made to both time and expenses in the  
16 exercise of billing judgment. As a result of this review and the adjustments made, I believe that  
17 the time reflected in the firm’s lodestar calculation and the expenses for which payment is sought  
18 as set forth in this declaration are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and  
19 efficient prosecution and resolution of the litigation. In addition, I believe that the expenses are  
20 all of a type that would normally be charged to a fee-paying client in the private legal  
21 marketplace.  
22

23  
24 4. After the reductions referred to above, the number of hours spent on this litigation  
25 by my firm is 174.5. A breakdown of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A. The lodestar amount  
26 for attorney/professional staff time based on the firm’s 2017 rates is \$102,662.50. The hourly  
27

1 rates shown in Exhibit A are the usual and customary rates set by the firm for each individual in  
2 2017.

3 5. Attached as Exhibit B is a task-based summary of the work performed by each  
4 attorney and professional staff member who performed services in this Action.

5 6. My firm seeks an award of \$145,398.60 for expenses incurred in connection with  
6 the prosecution of the litigation. These expenses and charges are summarized by category in  
7 Exhibit C.

8 7. The following is additional information regarding these expenses:


9 (a) Litigation Fund: \$144,290. Bernstein Liebhard contributed \$144,290 to  
10 the Litigation Fund maintained by Labaton Sucharow LLP, which Co-Lead Counsel allocated to  
11 necessary litigation expenses, including the costs for experts, consultants, and the mediator; and  
12

13 (b) Online Legal and Factual Research: \$1,108.60. Bernstein Liebhard  
14 conducted electronic research using databases maintained by vendors such as Westlaw and  
15 PACER. These databases were used for legal research and for cite-checking briefs.  
16

17 8. The expenses pertaining to this case are reflected in the books and records of this  
18 firm. These books and records are prepared from receipts, expense vouchers, check records and  
19 other documents and are an accurate record of the expenses.

20 9. The identification and background of my firm and its partners is attached hereto  
21 as Exhibit C.  
22

23 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this  
24 16 day of January, 2018, at New York, NY.

25  
26   
27 Stanley D. Bernstein

## **Exhibit A**

## EXHIBIT A

*Hatamian, et al. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al.,*  
**Bernstein Liebhard LLP**  
**Lead Counsel Appointment through January 12, 2018**

<i>NAME</i>		<i>HOURS</i>	<i>RATE</i>	<i>LODESTAR</i>
Stanley D. Bernstein	(P)	0.50	1050	525.00
Michael S. Bigin	(P)	29.50	900	26,550.00
Joseph Seidman	(A)	.25	800	200.00
Heather Lynch	(A)	61.50	500	30,750.00
Peter Harrington	(A)	74.00	550	40,700.00
Janna Birkeland	(PL)	5.00	450	2,250.00
Teresa Maloney	(PL)	3.75	450	1,687.50
<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>		<b><i>174.50</i></b>		<b><i>\$102,662.50</i></b>

Partner (P)  
Associate (A)  
Paralegal (PL)

## **Exhibit B**

**EXHIBIT B*****Hatamian, et al. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al.,*  
Bernstein Liebhard LLP Lead Counsel Appointment through January 12, 2018**

## Categories:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| (1) Factual Investigation                     | (6) Client/Shareholder Communications               |
| (2) Discovery (Fact & Expert)                 | (7) Litigation Strategy & Analysis                  |
| (3) Briefs, Pretrial Motions & Legal Research | (8) Settlement Negotiations, Stipulation, Plan of A |
| (4) Court Appearances                         | (9) Appeal  |
| (5) Initial or Amended Complaint              | (10) Trial Preparation                              |
|   | (11) Case Management                                |

Name		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Total Hours	Rate	Total Lodestar
Stanley Bernstein	P							0.50					0.50	1050	525.00
Michael Bigin	P		7.00	14.50			2.50	5.50					29.50	900	26,550.00
Joseph Seidman	A		0.25										0.25	800	200.00
Heather Lynch	A		61.50										61.50	500	30,750.00
Peter Harrington	A			74.00									74.00	550	40,700.00
Janna Birkeland	PL		1.00	4.00									5.00	450	2,250.00
Teresa Maloney	PL		3.75										3.75	450	1,687.50
<b>TOTAL:</b>			<b>73.50</b>	<b>92.50</b>			<b>2.50</b>	<b>6.00</b>					<b>174.50</b>		<b>\$102,662.50</b>

(P) Partner

(A) Associate

(PL) Paralegal



## **Exhibit C**

## EXHIBIT C

*Hatamian, et al. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al.,*  
Bernstein Liebhard LLP's Expenses  
Lead Counsel Appointment through January 12, 2018

<i>CATEGORY</i>	<i>AMOUNT</i>
Litigation Fund	\$144,290.00
Online Legal and Factual Research	\$1,108.60
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>\$145,398.60</i>

## **Exhibit D**

## EXHIBIT D

*Hatamian, et al. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al.,*  
Bernstein Liebhard LLP Firm Resume

# Bernstein Liebhard LLP

10 East 40th Street  
New York, New York 10016  
ph: (212) 779-1414

## FIRM RESUME

Bernstein Liebhard LLP (the "Firm") was formed in 1993 as a boutique litigation practice to represent institutional and individual investors in shareholder class and derivative litigation and consumers in consumer fraud and antitrust litigation.

The Firm is the only firm in the country to be named by THE NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL to the "Plaintiffs' Hot List," recognizing the top plaintiffs' firms in the country, for thirteen years. The Firm is also included in THE NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL's "Plaintiffs' Hot List Hall of Fame" and was recognized by THE NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL as one of a select group of "America's Elite Trial Lawyers" for three consecutive years. The Firm was selected for its "exemplary and cutting-edge work" on behalf of plaintiffs in the Securities Law and Antitrust categories and for "big victories in complex cases that have a wide impact on the law and legal business."

In 2016, the Firm was listed for the eleventh consecutive year in THE LEGAL 500, a guide to the best commercial law firms in the United States. THE LEGAL 500 is an independent "guide to 'the best of the best' – the pre-eminent firms in the world's strongest and most competitive legal market." In addition, the Firm was listed for the fourth consecutive year in BENCHMARK PLAINTIFF: THE DEFINITIVE GUIDE TO AMERICA'S LEADING PLAINTIFF FIRMS & ATTORNEYS ("BENCHMARK PLAINTIFF"). BENCHMARK PLAINTIFF focuses exclusively on plaintiff litigation, "highlighting firms and individuals responsible for bringing the cases that matter." The Firm has also received Martindale-Hubbell's highest ratings for legal ability (A) and ethical standards (V) and "Peer Review Rated 2012" by the American Association of Justice.

Bernstein Liebhard has also been selected by the legal publication LAW360 to its list of the top six plaintiff-side securities firms in the nation. The Firm was recognized for its "leadership work" in connection with the \$586 million settlement in *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, No. 21 MC 92 (S.D.N.Y.) and the \$400 million settlement in *In re Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 04-CV-8144 (CM) (S.D.N.Y.). The Firm was

1 recognized by RiskMetrics Group, Inc. for three consecutive years in its annual Securities also  
 2 Class Action Services list as one of the top plaintiffs' securities class action firms in the country,  
 as measured by annual settlement amounts.

## PRACTICE AREAS

### SECURITIES LITIGATION

6 Since its inception in 1993, Bernstein Liebhards has represented individual and institutional  
 7 investors in securities litigation, recovering over \$3.5 billion for the classes we have represented.  
 8 The Firm has successfully served as sole lead counsel and as co-lead counsel in some of the  
 9 largest securities class action cases in the past decade and has actively litigated scores of actions  
 to successful conclusions. For example, the Firm, as lead, executive committee counsel, and co-  
 10 counsel has successfully obtained many multi-million dollar recoveries. These cases include,  
 among others:

- 11 • *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, No. 21 MC 92 (S.D.N.Y. 2009)  
 12 (a coordinated litigation of over 300 securities class actions, in which a \$586 million  
 settlement was obtained after seven full-day mediation sessions);
- 13 • *In re Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 04-CV-8144 (CM)  
 14 (S.D.N.Y. 2009) (\$400 million settlement of an action brought against the world's largest  
 15 insurance broker, arising from the company's improper practice of steering its clients to  
 insurance companies that agreed to pay it billions of dollars in contingent commissions);
- 16 • *In re Beacon Associates Litigation*, No. 09-CIV-0777 (LBS) (AJP) (S.D.N.Y. 2013)  
 17 (\$219 million settlement on behalf of hedge funds that invested with Bernard L. Madoff,  
 which resolved claims in the *In re Beacon Associates Litigation*, No. 09-CIV-0777 (LBS)  
 18 (AJP) (S.D.N.Y.) and *In re J.P. Jeanneret Associates Inc.*, No. 09-CIV-3907 (CM) (AJP)  
 19 (S.D.N.Y.) class actions, as well as several additional lawsuits in federal and New York  
 State court against the settling defendants, including suits brought by the United States  
 20 Department of Labor and the New York Attorney General);
- 21 • *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport Securities Litigation*, No. 04-374 (JAP) (D.N.J.  
 22 2008) (the case, which arose from Royal Dutch/Shell's 2004 announcements that it had  
 overstated its proved oil and gas reserves by a material amount – about *one-third* of its  
 23 proved reserves, settled for \$166.6 million);
- 24 • *In re Fannie Mae Securities Litigation*, No. 04-1639 (FJL) (D.D.C. 2013) (settlement of  
 25 \$153 million, the largest securities settlement in the D.C. Circuit since the passage of the  
 PSLRA, and ranks among the top 5% of securities class action settlements of all time);
- 26 • *In re Tremont Securities Law, State Law and Insurance Litigation*, No. 08-CV-11117  
 27 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (settlement in excess of \$100 million, in which the Firm represents

investors who lost millions of dollars in hedge funds that invested with Bernard L. Madoff);

- *In re Cigna Corp. Securities Litigation*, No. 02CV8088 (E.D. Pa. 2007) (\$93 million settlement obtained following four years of vigorous litigation);
- *In re Bankers Trust Securities Litigation*, No. 98-CV-08460 (S.D.N.Y. 2002) (\$58 million settlement; 100% recovery of loss);
- *In re Procter & Gamble Co. Securities Litigation*, No. 00-CV-00190 (S.D. Ohio 2001) (\$49 million settlement);
- *In re Bausch & Lomb, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 94-CV-06270 (W.D.N.Y. 1998) (\$42 million settlement);
- *City of Austin Police Retirement System v. Kinross Gold Corp. et al.*, No. 12-CV-01203-VEC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$33 million settlement).
- *In re BellSouth Corp. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-CV-2142 (N.D. Ga. 2007) (\$35 million settlement);
- *In re Beazer Homes U.S.A., Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 07-CV-725-CC (N.D. Ga. 2009) (\$30.5 million settlement);
- *Di Giacomo v. Plains All American Pipeline, LP*, No. 99-CV-4137 (S.D. Tex. 2001) (\$24.1 million settlement);
- *In re Riscorp Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 96-02374 (M.D. Fla. 1998) (\$21 million settlement);
- *In re Tower Group International, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 13-CV-5852 (AT) (S.D.N.Y. 2015) (\$20.5 million settlement partial settlement);
- *In re Lumenis Securities Litigation*, No. 02-CV-1989 (S.D.N.Y. 2008) (\$20.1 million settlement);
- *In re TASER International Securities Litigation*, No. C05-0115 (D. Ariz. 2007) (\$20 million settlement);
- *In re Gilat Satellite Networks, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-CV-1510 (E.D.N.Y. 2007) (\$20 million settlement);
- *In re Kit Digital, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 12-CV-04199 (VM) (S.D.N.Y. 2013) \$6,001,999 settlement);

- 1 • ***Peters v. JinkoSolar Holdings***, No. 11-CV-07133 (JPO) (S.D.N.Y. 2015) (\$5.05 million  
2 settlement); and
- 3 • ***Szymborski v. Ormat Technologies, Inc.***, No. 10-CV-00132-ECR (D. Nev. 2012)  
4 (\$3.1 million settlement).

5 The Firm has also served as lead counsel in numerous corporate governance and corporate  
6 takeover litigations (both hostile and friendly) on behalf of stockholders of public corporations.  
7 The Firm has prosecuted actions challenging numerous highly publicized corporate transactions  
8 which violated fair process and fair price, and the applicability of the business judgment rule.  
9 These cases have resulted in multi-million dollar improvements in transaction terms and in  
10 strengthening the democratic rights of public shareholders:

- 11 • ***In re Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc. Derivative Litigation***, No. 8145-VCN  
12 (Del. Ch. 2015) (the Firm, as co-lead counsel, recovered \$153.5 million for shareholders  
13 and obtained an unprecedented provision allowing the settlement to be distributed to  
14 Freeport shareholders in the form of a special dividend. The settlement is one of the  
15 largest derivative settlements in the Delaware Court of Chancery history);
- 16 • ***In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholders Litigation***, No. C.A. 7328-VCS (Del. Ch.  
17 2012) (the Firm obtained the elimination of stand-still provisions that allowed third  
18 parties to bid for Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (“Great Wolf”) – resulting in the emergence of  
19 a third-party bidder and approximately \$94 million in additional merger consideration for  
20 Great Wolf’s shareholders);
- 21 • ***In re Atlas Energy, Inc. Shareholders Litigation***, No. C.A. 5990-VCL (Del. Ch. 2011)  
22 (the Firm obtained a settlement providing an additional \$7.45 million in merger  
23 consideration for Atlas Energy shareholders);
- 24 • ***In re Pride International, Inc. Shareholders Litigation***, No. C.A. 6201-VCS (Del. Ch.  
25 2011) (after the completion of expedited discovery and prior to a preliminary injunction  
26 hearing, the Firm obtained a proposed settlement providing material modifications to a  
27 contested merger agreement and the dissemination of supplemental disclosures in  
connection with a proxy statement sent to Pride shareholders);
- ***In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation [Federated Sub-Track]***, No. 04-MD-15861  
(CCB) (D. Md. 2010) (representing investors in Federated Investors Funds fluctuating  
mutual funds, the Firm obtained a total settlement of \$3,381,500 in addition to significant  
corporate governance reforms. The benefits obtained by the Firm were in addition to \$72  
million that Federated Investors, Inc. (“Federated”) paid pursuant to the settlement of  
regulatory investigations concerning Federated’s alleged market-timing and late-trading  
activities. The Firm also obtained declaratory and injunctive relief to ensure that the  
alleged market-timing and late-trading activities would not be repeated);
- ***In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation [Bank of America/Nations Sub-Track]***, No.  
04-MD-15862 (JFM) (D. Md. 2010) (representing investors in Nations Fund Mutual  
Funds (the “Nations Funds”), the Firm, with lead counsel, achieved settlements that  
resolved the class action and several related litigations arising from alleged market timing



and late trading in various mutual funds in the Bank of America mutual fund family. The settlements established a jointly-recommended minimum allocation of at least \$60 million to shareholders of the Nations Funds from a fund created as a result of Bank of America's settlement of regulatory investigations. In addition to the monetary allocation, the settlements provide for corporate governance changes concerning the detection and prevention of future market timing and late trading in the Nations Funds. The Firm and lead counsel also recovered an additional \$2,100,000 from non-Bank of America defendants);

- ***Kwait v. Berman***, No. 5306-CC (Del. Ch. 2010) (obtained significant amendments to a voting agreement agreed to by RiskMetrics Group, Inc.'s interested shareholders in connection with a proposed merger, as well as additional disclosures concerning the proposed merger);
- ***In re UnitedGlobalCom Shareholders Litigation***, No. 1012-VCS (Del. Ch. 2008) (plaintiffs, former shareholders of UnitedGlobalCom ("UGC"), successfully achieved a \$25 million settlement in a case alleging that a minority exchange transaction with UGC's majority shareholder did not meet the entire fairness standard);
- ***In re Cablevision Systems Corp. Shareholders Litigation***, No. 05-009752 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2007) (plaintiffs successfully deterred a going-private transaction proposed by Cablevision's controlling shareholder at an inadequate price. The proposal was ultimately converted to a \$2.5 billion special dividend payable ratably to all Cablevision shareholders. In connection with the settlement, Cablevision agreed to implement corporate governance reforms and other procedures to ensure that the special dividend was financially fair to Cablevision and its public shareholders);
- ***In re Plains Resources, Inc. Shareholders Litigation***, No. 071-N (Del. Ch. 2004) (plaintiffs challenged the buyout of the public shares of Plains Resources by two of the company's senior executives and Vulcan Energy. Through the Firm's aggressive efforts as co-lead counsel, which included motions for expedited discovery and a preliminary injunction, the price paid for Plains Resources shares in connection with the buyout was increased twice, yielding an additional \$67 million in merger consideration);
- ***In re MONY Group Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, No. 20554 (Del. Ch. 2004) (Delaware Chancery Court issued a preliminary injunction enjoining the shareholder vote on the merger pending the issuance of curative disclosures by the MONY defendants; as part of the settlement, certain of MONY's executives forfeited approximately \$7.4 million in change-of-control payments, funding an increase in the consideration received by MONY's shareholders in the merger);
- ***In re Arco Chemical Co. Shareholders Litigation***, No. 16493-NC (Del. Sup. 2002) (the Firm's advocacy led the Delaware Supreme Court to require the company to broaden the rights of public shareholders in change-of-control transactions);
- ***In re AXA Financial Shareholders Litigation***, No. 18268 (Del. Ch. 2002) (\$500 million increased merger consideration);



- 1 • *In re Kroll-O’Gara Shareholders Litigation*, No. 99 CIV. 11387 (S.D.N.Y. and Ohio  
2 State Ct. 2002) (derivative case brought on behalf of Kroll-O’Gara to remedy internecine  
3 disputes among the company’s senior management; the case settled with significant  
4 corporate governance changes, including an independent committee of directors to  
5 oversee change-of-control transactions and certain other internal management issues);
- 6 • *Shapiro v. Quickturn Design Systems, Inc.*, No. 16850-NC (Del. Ch. 2002) (the Firm  
7 successfully represented public stockholders in a trial in Delaware Chancery Court that  
8 invalidated a modified “deadhand” poison pill anti-takeover provision; following the  
9 affirmance of the trial verdict by the Delaware Supreme Court, the Firm secured the  
10 implementation of procedures designed to ensure a full and active auction maximizing  
11 shareholder value, paving the way for a takeover of Quickturn at a premium of  
12 approximately \$51 million);
- 13 • *In re Ascent Entertainment Group Inc. Derivative Litigation*, No. 17201-NC (Del. Ch.  
14 2000) (involving the proposed sale of the Colorado Avalanche and the Denver Nuggets,  
15 both owned at the time by Ascent, to Ascent’s CEO and Chairman; by virtue of the  
16 Firm’s representation, Ascent commenced a new auction for the sports teams, which  
17 resulted in a higher price (approximately \$40 million) to be paid for the teams; also, by  
18 virtue of the settlement, the parties agreed that the plaintiffs could appoint a director of  
19 their choosing to the Ascent board);
- 20 • *In re Foamex International Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, No. 16259-NC (Del. Ch.  
21 2000) (the Firm’s efforts culminated in the requirements that the company appoint two  
22 independent directors, that it constitute a nominating committee to search for and  
23 recommend new independent directors, and that any related-party transactions be  
24 reviewed and approved by a majority of disinterested directors);
- 25 • *In re Archer Daniels Midland Corp. Derivative Litigation*, No. 14403 (Del. Ch. 1997)  
26 (the Firm, as lead counsel, effected important corporate governance improvements,  
27 including the requirement that a majority of the board be comprised of outside directors;  
the creation of a nominating committee; the requirement that the audit committee oversee  
corporate compliance; and the requirement that the audit committee be composed of  
outside directors); and
- *In re Sears, Roebuck Derivative Litigation*, No. 88 CH 10009 (Ill. Ch. Ct.) (Senior  
Partner Stanley D. Bernstein pioneered the use of litigation to achieve corporate  
governance reform in the early 1990s, gaining the addition of outside directors to Sears’  
board, and expanding the role of outside directors on the company’s nominating  
committee).

## 24 CONSUMER LITIGATION

25  
26 Bernstein Liebhard also has an active consumer practice. The Firm represented thousands of  
27 affected tenants of the Stuyvesant Town and Peter Cooper Village rental apartment complexes in  
Manhattan. The case centered on allegations that landlords of the rental complexes have, for

1 many years, illegally charged market-rate rents for apartments that should have been rent  
 2 stabilized under New York City's Rent Stabilization Law, thereby overcharging each affected  
 3 tenant thousands of dollars per year. The core legal issue was whether landlords could  
 4 permissibly deregulate and charge market-rate rents for certain "luxury" apartment units in these  
 5 complexes in years in which the landlords were simultaneously receiving New York City tax  
 6 abatements, known as "J-51" benefits. Prior to obtaining the \$146.85 million dollar settlement,  
 7 the Firm, as co-lead counsel, obtained a landmark ruling in favor of tenants from the New York  
 8 Court of Appeals, the highest appellate court in New York State. The Court of Appeals ruled  
 9 that the New York statutory scheme prevented landlords of rent stabilized buildings from  
 10 charging market-rate rents while receiving J-51 benefits for as long as they continue to receive  
 11 those tax benefits. The Firm continued to aggressively litigate the case and brought nine other  
 12 cases based on the this decision. The decision overturned state agency regulations that had been  
 in effect for at least nine years. CRAIN'S NEW YORK BUSINESS described it as "a decision that  
 will have colossal implications for tenants and landlords across the city."

13 The Firm won a verdict of \$14.7 million in 2009 for the clients and class we represented in  
 14 *Artie's Auto Body, Inc. v. Hartford Fire Insurance Co.*, No. X08-CV-03-0196141S (CLD)  
 15 (Conn. Super. Ct.), following a four-week jury trial. In addition to the \$14.7 million jury verdict,  
 16 in 2013 the Firm obtained a \$20 million punitive damage award – the largest punitive damage  
 17 award in the history of Connecticut's Unfair Trade Practices Act. Regrettably, the verdict and  
 18 the punitive damage award were reversed on appeal.

19 The Firm also successfully litigated a consumer class action which resulted in the re-labeling  
 20 of a popular home medical testing device to properly reflect the product's limitation in *Wagner v.*  
 21 *Inverness Medical Innovations, Inc.*, No. 03-cv-404-J-20 (M.D. Fla.) and obtained favorable  
 22 settlements in consumer fraud class actions for classes consisting of owners and lessees of  
 23 certain Volvo automobiles (\$30 million) and certain Saab automobiles (\$4.25 million).

## 24 **ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

25 The Firm's antitrust practice is also active and growing. Serving as one of the co-lead  
 26 counsel in the *In re Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation*, No. 08-MD-2002 (E.D. Pa.),  
 27 we have already obtained partial settlements in excess of \$50 million from multiple defendants  
 and negotiated an additional \$75 million recovery from another defendant (not yet submitted to  
 the court for preliminary approval), in this multi-district antitrust case filed against sixteen trade  
 groups and producers alleging an industry-wide, price-fixing conspiracy that raised the price of  
 shell eggs and egg products in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act.

28 The Firm served on the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in *In Re Polyurethane Foam*  
 29 *Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2196 (N.D. Ohio), a case involving a price-fixing conspiracy by  
 30 some of the world's largest manufacturers of flexible polyurethane foam. The case settled for  
 31 over \$400 million just days before trial.

32 The Firm was a member of the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in *In re*  
 33 *Fresh and Process Potatoes Antitrust Litigation*, No. 10-MD-02186-BLW-CWD (D. Idaho), an  
 34 antitrust class action commenced on behalf of direct purchasers of fresh and processed potatoes  
 35 that resulted in a \$19.5 million settlement.

1 This Firm also served on the court-appointed Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in the *In re*  
 2 *Pool Products Distribution Market Antitrust Litigation*, an antitrust case commenced on behalf  
 3 of a nationwide class of direct purchasers of pool products, filed against a pool products  
 4 distributor and the three largest manufacturers of pool products in the United States. The  
 5 plaintiffs asserted claims against all defendants under Section 1 of the Sherman Act for  
 6 conspiracy to restrain trade, and against the pool products distributor under Section 2 of the  
 7 Sherman Act for attempted monopolization. As part of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee,  
 8 the Firm secured approximately \$16 million recovery for the class.

9 On March 24, 2016, the Firm was appointed to the Executive Committee for the Direct  
 10 Purchaser Plaintiffs in *In re Packaged Seafood Products Antitrust Litigation*, an action  
 11 consolidated for pretrial proceedings in the Southern District of California. This action arises out  
 12 of a conspiracy by the largest producers of packaged seafood products ("PSPs") in the United  
 13 States to fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize prices for PSPs within the United States, and its  
 14 territories and the District of Columbia, in violation of Sections 1 and 3 of the Sherman Antitrust  
 15 Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 3).

16 The Firm is also part of the litigation team in *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation*, a  
 17 national class action alleging that beginning in 2008, broiler chicken producers coordinated their  
 18 efforts to artificially reduce the supply of broiler chickens for sale in the United States in  
 19 violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.

## 20 **COMMERCIAL LITIGATION**

21 Bernstein Liebhard also has an active commercial litigation practice, where it represents  
 22 businesses, public pension funds, and other entities in high stakes, complex litigation. For  
 23 example, the Firm represented the New Mexico Public Employees Retirement Association  
 24 ("PERA") in an individual action against Wells Fargo Bank and affiliates arising from  
 25 defendants' mismanagement of PERA's securities lending program. On the eve of trial, the Firm  
 26 negotiated a \$50 million recovery for PERA, representing over 65% of PERA's damages.

27 The Firm represented the New Mexico Educational Retirement Board ("ERB") in an action  
 against Wells Fargo Bank and affiliates arising from the mismanagement of ERB's securities  
 lending program. After two years of litigation, the Firm successfully negotiated a \$5 million  
 recovery for the ERB – representing over 50% of its damages.

The Firm acted as special litigation counsel to the Creditors Committee of Pandick Inc.  
 (formerly the largest financial printer in the country) in connection with a complex fraudulent  
 conveyance litigation and successfully recovered from Pandick's banks and directors over  
 \$14 million for Pandick's creditors.

The Firm also represented the Actrade Liquidation Trust (the "Trust"), the successor to  
 Actrade Financial Technologies, Ltd., a former publicly-traded company on NASDAQ, and  
 Actrade Capital ("Actrade") in two actions – the first (*Meer v. Aharoni*, No. 5141-CC (Del. Ch.))  
 against Actrade's former Chairman of the Board of Directors related to his misappropriation from  
 Actrade and his fraudulent inflation of Actrade's revenues in order to earn a profit on his options;  
 the second (*Meer v. Deloitte & Touche LLP*, No. 11-cv-06994 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.)) against  
 Deloitte & Touche, LLP for auditing malpractice and negligence. The Firm negotiated a  
 \$3,050,000 global settlement for both actions in February 2013.



## WHISTLEBLOWER LITIGATION

Bernstein Liebhard also has an active whistleblower practice. The False Claims Act has proven to be one of the most effective mechanisms to recover funds that have been stolen from the government through fraud by corporations, contractors, and individual wrongdoers. Since 1986, more than 5,500 *qui tam* actions have been filed and more than \$20 billion in settlements and recoveries have been recouped by the government under the False Claims Act.

Although the False Claims Act covers numerous forms of fraud on the government, the False Claims Act does not cover tax fraud. Blowing the whistle on those who commit tax fraud on the government is governed by the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006. As with the False Claims Act, the Tax Relief and Health Care Act offers individuals the opportunity to report tax fraud and receive a reward for helping the government recover money lost due to tax fraud or other violations of the tax laws.

In 2010, Congress enhanced the Securities and Exchange Commission's whistleblower program with the adoption of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. The amendment, among other things, increases the amount of whistleblower awards payable by the SEC to those who provide the SEC with information concerning violations of the federal securities laws.

Bernstein Liebhard LLP is dedicated to providing experienced, dedicated, and aggressive representation for whistleblowers looking to blow the whistle on those who commit fraud on the government or who violate the tax laws and the federal securities laws. The Firm's whistleblower lawyers have extensive experience providing legal advice and representation to individuals filing lawsuits against persons and entities who commit fraud and other wrongdoing.

## SUPREME COURT AND APPELLATE PRACTICE

The Firm's Supreme Court and Appellate Practice Group (the "Appellate Practice Group") handles all the Firm's appeals and prepares *amicus curiae* briefs on a *pro bono* basis in important cases affecting the Firm's clients. The group consults with our litigation and trial teams at the earliest stages of litigation to ensure that our clients are well positioned to win not only at the trial level, but also in the event of an appeal by either side.

The securities cases in which the Appellate Practice Group has filed *amicus curiae* briefs include: *Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.*, No. 06-43 (U.S.) and *Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.*, No. 06-484 (U.S.), both before the United States Supreme Court and *In re Dynex Capital Securities Litigation*, No. 06-CV-2902 (2d Cir.), before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. These briefs were filed on behalf of eight states and five public pension funds in cases concerning critical issues of investor protection and securities litigation. These states and public pension funds collectively manage approximately \$568 billion of pension funds, represent millions of pensioners, and have an acute interest in ensuring that investors' rights are protected.

1 The Firm also filed an *amicus curiae* brief in the United States Supreme Court on behalf of  
 2 Professor Arthur R. Miller in *Exxon Shipping Co. v. Baker*, No. 07-219 (U.S.). The appeal,  
 3 arising from the protracted litigation following the 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill, addressed  
 4 complex issues of procedural law, including the proper application of Federal Rule of Civil  
 5 Procedure 50. The brief, prepared by the Firm at the request of and in collaboration with  
 6 Professor Miller, identified certain procedural errors by the United States Court of Appeals for  
 7 the Ninth Circuit that, if ratified by the United States Supreme Court, threatened to undermine  
 8 the authority of federal district courts to manage their dockets in complex actions.

9 In October 2009, the Firm filed an *amicus curiae* brief in the United States Supreme Court on  
 10 behalf of the AARP, a nonpartisan, non-profit organization of nearly forty million members that  
 11 is dedicated to addressing the needs and interests of people age fifty and over, and the Detectives  
 12 Endowment Association Annuity Fund in *Merck & Co. v. Reynolds*, No. 08-905 (U.S.), which  
 13 was decided by the United States Supreme Court in April 2010. Ruling in favor of the plaintiffs,  
 14 the Court resolved the standard to be applied by lower courts ruling on the definition of the  
 15 deadline for filing a securities fraud lawsuit under federal law, adopting the view the Firm  
 16 advocated for its clients: a plain reading of the statute of limitations – “two years after discovery  
 17 of the facts constituting the violation” – can only mean that the clock starts running when the  
 18 plaintiff actually discovers facts sufficient to state a claim.

19 The Appellate Practice Group also filed an *amicus curiae* brief with the United States  
 20 Supreme Court in support of the plaintiff’s position in *Wyeth v. Levine*, No. 06-1249 (U.S.),  
 21 which was decided by the United States Supreme Court in March 2009. The Court, ruling in  
 22 favor of the plaintiff, decided that FDA regulations did not preempt consumer lawsuits for  
 23 injuries caused by defective pharmaceutical drugs.

24 The Appellate Practice Group filed an *amicus curiae* brief with the United States Court of  
 25 Appeals for the Second Circuit in *UFCW Local 1776 Participating Employers Health & Welfare*  
 26 *Fund 28 v. Eli Lilly & Co.*, No. 09-0222-cv (2d Cir.), on behalf of the AARP and Prescription  
 27 Access Litigation LLC, in support of plaintiffs-appellees. The *amici’s* brief to the Second  
 28 Circuit argued that deceptive pharmaceutical marketing can affect doctors’ medical decisions,  
 29 harming consumers and leading to high costs and decreased access to treatment. In addition, the  
 30 group successfully briefed the issue of whether landlords could permissibly deregulate and  
 31 charge market-rate rents for certain “luxury” apartment units in complexes in years in which the  
 32 landlords were simultaneously receiving tax abatements from New York City, known as “J-51,”  
 33 before the New York State Court of Appeals in *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer Properties, L.P.*,  
 34 No. 131 (N.Y.). The New York State Court of Appeals ruled that the New York statutory  
 35 scheme prevents landlords of rent stabilized buildings from charging market-rate rents while  
 36 receiving J-51 benefits for as long as they continue to receive those tax benefits. The decision is  
 37 reported at *Tishman Speyer Properties, L.P.*, 13 N.Y.3d 270 (2009). The case settled in April  
 38 2013 for \$146.85 million (\$68.75 million cash).

## JUDICIAL PRAISE

Courts have repeatedly praised the efforts of the Firm and its partners:

***"I would also like to commend the lawyers in this case. Extremely thorough professional presentations were made under very trying circumstances . . . . They were all done to the highest quality of the legal profession, and the advocacy was always aggressive but within the bounds of good professional propriety . . . thank you for the excellent job that you did."***

- Honorable Alfred J. Jennings, Jr. of the Connecticut Superior Court (Stamford/Norwalk Division), following a successful four-week jury trial.<sup>1</sup>

***"[L]et me say one more thing. I compliment[ ] everybody in the way they've presented themselves here and I want you to know that I mean that sincerely . . . . I'm happy to say that the lawyers in this case have, again, conducted themselves in the highest professional manner. And I'm also pleased to say that this does not surprise me, having had the opportunity to preside over a lot of these class action litigations . . . ."***

- Honorable Joel A. Pisano of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.<sup>2</sup>

***"the quality of the representation to achieve what they [Bernstein Liebhard] have achieved speaks for itself. The quality was extremely high."***

- Honorable Deborah A. Batts of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.<sup>3</sup>

***"[Bernstein Liebhard] accomplish[ed] an exceptional result because of the nationwide benefit to all women diagnosed with [Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome] and the benefit to the medical community."***

- Magistrate Judge (now District Court Judge) Marcia Morales Howard of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Artie's Auto Body, Inc. v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co.*, No. X08-CV-03-0196141S (CLD) (Conn. Super. Ct.), Trial Tr., Nov. 17, 2009 at 15.

<sup>2</sup> *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 04-374 (JAP) (D.N.J.), Tr. of Hr'g, Sept. 26, 2008 at 60-61.

<sup>3</sup> *In re Lumenis Sec. Litig.*, No. 02-CV-1989 (S.D.N.Y.), Tr. of Hr'g, Aug. 25, 2008 at 6.

<sup>4</sup> *Wagner v. Inverness Med. Innovations, Inc.*, No. 03-CV-404-J-20 (M.D. Fla.).

***"But I did want to thank . . . counsel [Bernstein Liebhard] for excellent, excellent oral argument. Certainly helped the Court significantly. And I want to thank you . . . for what is a sterling indication of what the bar can produce when you have qualified people before it."***

- Judge Stephen A. Bucaria of the Nassau County Supreme Court.<sup>5</sup>

***"I'm impressed with the innovative nature . . . of the benefit that's been provided . . . It's my turn to make a compliment in open court: that the plaintiff is represented by highly competent counsel [Stanley D. Bernstein], a counsel that demonstrates consistently to me an incredible work ethic in achieving the benefits that were achieved here."***

- Vice Chancellor (now Delaware Supreme Court Chief Justice) Myron T. Steele.<sup>6</sup>

***"Plaintiffs are represented by counsel [Bernstein Liebhard] who are skilled in federal securities and class action litigation . . . Counsel have been diligent and well prepared . . . Plaintiffs' counsel has performed an important public service in this action and have done so efficiently and with integrity . . . You have the thanks of this court."***

- Senior Judge Denise Cote of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.<sup>7</sup>

***"The quality of the legal work throughout has been high and conscientious. . . ."***

- Judge Reena Raggi of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (now of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit).<sup>8</sup>

***"the performance of counsel [Bernstein Liebhard] . . . has been absolutely outstanding. It has been a pleasure to be involved with each of you in handling this case."***

<sup>5</sup> *Carlson v. Long Island Jewish Hosp.*, No. 020098/05 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.).

<sup>6</sup> *In re Illinois Cent. Corp. S'holders Litig.*, No. 16184 (Del. Ch.), Tr. of Hr'g, Feb. 25, 1999 at 29-30.

<sup>7</sup> *In re Take Two Interactive Software, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 01 CIV. 9919 (S.D.N.Y.), Tr. of Hr'g, Oct. 4, 2002 at 40, 44.

<sup>8</sup> *In re Tower Air, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 94 CIV. 1347 (E.D.N.Y.), Tr. of Hr'g, Feb. 9, 1996 at 52.

- 1 - Chief Judge Gene Carter (now Senior District Judge) of the United States District  
2 Court for the District of Maine.<sup>9</sup>
- 

3  
4 ***“Mr. Bernstein, it has actually been a pleasure getting to know and work with you on  
5 this . . . . [Y]ou make a really good presentation.”***

- 6 - Former Judge Wayne R. Andersen (retired) of the United States District Court for the  
7 Northern District of Illinois.<sup>10</sup>
- 

8  
9 ***“Counsel [Bernstein Liebhard] . . . have been professional and realistic in this matter . . .  
10 . The court has been impressed with the competence and candor of counsel . . . .”***

- 11 - Former Judge Robert J. Cindrich (retired) of the United States District Court for the  
12 Western District of Pennsylvania.<sup>11</sup>

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 <sup>9</sup> *Nensel v. Peoples Heritage Fin. Group, Inc.*, No. 91-324-P-C (D. Me.), Tr. of Hr’g, Dec. 17,  
1992 at 12.

26 <sup>10</sup> *Hager v. Schawk, Inc.*, No. 95 C6974 (N.D. Ill.), Tr. of Hr’g, May 21, 1997 at 22.

27 <sup>11</sup> *DeCicco v. Am. Eagle Outfitters, Inc.*, No. 95-1937 (W.D. Pa.), Report and Recommendation  
of Magistrate Judge Kenneth Benson, Nov. 25, 1996 at 6 (adopted as opinion of court by Judge  
Cindrich, Dec. 12, 1996).



## ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

### STANLEY D. BERNSTEIN

SENIOR PARTNER

Stanley D. Bernstein is a founding partner of Bernstein Liebhard LLP and has successfully represented plaintiffs in complex commercial litigation, securities fraud litigation, shareholder and derivative litigation, and antitrust litigation for over thirty years. Mr. Bernstein is a recognized leader in the securities and corporate governance bar. He frequently addresses lawyers and business professionals concerning various aspects of plaintiffs' litigation and was featured as the cover story in *DIRECTORSHIP* magazine in an interview entitled "Investors v. Directors." Mr. Bernstein also heads the Firm's *qui tam*/whistleblower practice group.

Mr. Bernstein had been widely recognized for his achievements:

- LAWDRAGON named him one of the "500 Leading Lawyers in America," "500 Leading Litigators in America," "500 Leading Plaintiffs' Lawyers," and "100 Lawyers You Need to Know in Securities Litigation;
- The National Association of Corporate Directors and *DIRECTORSHIP* magazine listed him in the *Directorship 100* - the list of "The Most Influential People in the Boardroom" (2009-2012);
- Named a *Super Lawyer* by SUPER LAWYERS magazine (2007-2009; 2012-2017);
- Recommended by THE LEGAL 500 (2011-2012; 2014-2016);
- Recognized by BENCHMARK PLAINTIFF: THE DEFINITIVE GUIDE TO AMERICA'S LEADING PLAINTIFF FIRMS & ATTORNEYS (2012-2015); and
- Ranked in CHAMBERS USA GUIDE (2012-2016).

Mr. Bernstein has litigated high-profile cases against the most prominent defense firms in the country. He has earned a reputation for being a tenacious litigator who has negotiated some of the largest securities fraud settlements in history. For example, as Chair of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in the *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, Mr. Bernstein negotiated a \$586 million settlement of 300 coordinated actions, after seven full-day mediation sessions. He also negotiated significant settlements in *In re Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$400 million); *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport Securities Litigation*

#### Education

- New York University School of Law, J.D., honors, 1980
- Cornell University, B.S., 1977

#### Admissions

New York

Florida

U.S. Supreme Court

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Second Circuit

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York

- Eastern District of New York

1 (\$166.6 million); and *In re Bankers Trust Securities Litigation* (\$58 million, representing 100%  
2 of losses).

3 Mr. Bernstein is also eager to try any case when the interests of a client are best-served  
4 through trial. For example, he was trial counsel for stockholders in a trial in the Delaware  
5 Chancery Court that invalidated an anti-takeover device in *Shapiro v. Quickturn Design Systems,  
6 Inc.* He was also trial counsel in a pro bono case representing the Westchester Day School in  
7 litigation concerning a bitter zoning dispute. After obtaining a favorable verdict, Mr. Bernstein  
8 negotiated a \$4.75 million settlement – the then-highest reported recovery under the applicable  
9 federal statute.

10 Mr. Bernstein also led an individual action on behalf of the New Mexico Public Employees  
11 Retirement Association (“PERA”) against Wells Fargo Bank and affiliates arising from  
12 defendants’ mismanagement of PERA’s securities lending program. On the eve of trial, Mr.  
13 Bernstein negotiated a \$50 million recovery for PERA, representing over 65% of PERA’s  
14 damages.

15 Mr. Bernstein has also been lead counsel in many of the leading securities cases enforcing  
16 and expanding the rights of shareholders, including in *In re Sears, Roebuck Derivative Litigation*  
17 and *In re Archer Daniels Midlands Corp. Derivative Litigation* (pioneering cases which  
18 improved corporate governance at both companies).

19 Mr. Bernstein also represents corporations in complex commercial litigation. Most recently,  
20 Mr. Bernstein represented the Trustee of the Actrade Liquidation Trust in two lawsuits; the first,  
21 *Meer v. Aharoni*, No. 5141-CC (Del. Ch.), an action against Actrade’s former chairman for  
22 misappropriation of funds and fraud resulting in the ultimate collapse of Actrade; the second,  
23 *Meer v. Deloitte & Touche, LLP*, No. 11-CV-06994 (HB) (S.D.N.Y.), a malpractice action  
24 against Actrade’s accountant for failing to conduct proper audits. The cases resulted in a multi-  
25 million dollar settlement.

26 Mr. Bernstein also co-chairs the Firm’s antitrust practice and is co-lead counsel in the *In re*  
27 *Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation*, No. 08-MD-2002 (E.D. Pa.), in which partial  
28 settlements have been reached with a number of the defendants, resulting in a recovery in excess  
29 of \$50 million for the class to date.

30 Mr. Bernstein is admitted to the Bars of the States of New York and Florida, the United  
31 States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United  
32 States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**SANDY A. LIEBHARD**  
SENIOR PARTNER

**Sandy A. Liebhard** is a 1988 graduate of Brooklyn Law School and since that time has practiced all aspects of securities law. Mr. Liebhard has been repeatedly recognized as a “local litigation star” for his securities work in the 2012-2015 editions of BENCHMARK PLAINTIFF: THE DEFINITIVE GUIDE TO AMERICA’S LEADING PLAINTIFF FIRMS & ATTORNEYS and was recommended in the 2014 edition of THE LEGAL 500 for his work in securities litigation.

For more than twenty years, Mr. Liebhard has been successfully representing plaintiffs in complex litigations. Mr. Liebhard served on the Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation* (\$586 million recovery) and was involved in the *In re Fannie Mae Securities Litigation*, where a \$153 million settlement received final approval.

Mr. Liebhard has been lead or co-lead counsel in such major securities cases as: *In re AXA Financial Shareholders Litigation* (\$500 million in increased merger consideration); *In re Lin Broadcasting Corp. Shareholders Litigation* (recovering \$64 million in increased merger consideration); *In re Tenneco Securities Litigation* (\$50 million recovery); *In re Bausch & Lomb, Inc. Securities Litigation* (achieving \$42 million recovery for defrauded shareholders); and *In re BellSouth Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$35 million recovery).

Mr. Liebhard is also active in the Firm’s complex litigation practice. Mr. Liebhard, serving as co-lead counsel in *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer Properties, L.P.*, secured a \$146.85 million settlement (\$68.75 million cash) on behalf of the tenants of the Stuyvesant Town and Peter Cooper Village rental apartment complexes in Manhattan for rent overcharges stemming from the landlord having illegally charged market-rate rents for apartments that should have been rent stabilized under New York City’s Rent Stabilization Law.

Mr. Liebhard is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**Education**

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D., 1988
- Brooklyn College, B.S., 1985

**Admissions**

New York

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- Eastern District of New York

**U. SETH OTTENSOSER**

PARTNER

U. Seth Ottensoser graduated with high honors in 1992 from Queens College of the City University of New York, where he was elected to and became a member of Phi Beta Kappa. He received his J.D. in 1995 from the University of Southern California School of Law. Mr. Ottensoser has been repeatedly named a leading lawyer by SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE (2010, 2013-2017) and by SUPER LAWYERS BUSINESS EDITIONS for his work in securities litigation (2011, 2013-2015). In addition, he is recommended by THE LEGAL 500 (2011, 2012; 2016).

Mr. Ottensoser concentrates his practice in the areas of merger and acquisition litigation, securities class actions, and corporate litigation. Mr. Ottensoser represents labor unions, public pension funds, individual shareholders, and hedge funds. Mr. Ottensoser has been involved in many successful class actions, including: *In re Cablevision Systems Corp. Shareholders Litigation*, No. 05-9752 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (successfully blocked a going-private transaction by a controlling shareholder leading to payment of a dividend to shareholders worth approximately \$2.5 billion); *In re Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 04-CV-8144 (CM) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$400 million recovery); *In re Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, C.A. No. 8110-VCN (Del. Ch.) (\$153.5 million recovery, representing the second largest derivative settlement in Delaware); *In re Aetna, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 01-CV-97 (E.D. Pa.) (\$82.5 million recovery); *In re Plains Resources, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, No. 071-N (Del. Ch.) (\$67 million in additional merger consideration for the shareholders of Plains Resources); *In re Triton Energy Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 98-CV-0256 (E.D. Tex.) (\$49.5 million recovery); *In re Ascent Entertainment Derivative Litigation*, No. 17201-NC (Del. Ch.) (\$40 million derivative settlement relating to the sale of the Denver Nuggets and the Colorado Avalanche); *City of Austin Police & Retirement Fund v. Kinross Gold Corp.*, No. 12-CV-1203 (PE) (SDNY) (settlement of \$33 million); *In re Waste Management Derivative Litigation*, C.A. No. 17313-NC (Del. Ch.) (\$25 million recovery); *In re UnitedGlobalCom Shareholders Litigation*, No. 1012-N (Del. Ch.) (\$25 million recovery in a going-private transaction); *In re Kinko's Shareholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 15180-NC (Del. Ch.) (\$8.5 million recovery for a class consisting of approximately 160 shareholders); *In re MONY Group, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, No. 20554 (Del. Ch.) (successfully enjoining a shareholder vote on a merger pending the issuance of curative disclosures. As part of the settlement, certain of MONY's executives forfeited approximately \$7.4 million in change-of-control payments, funding an increase in the consideration received by MONY's shareholders); *In re Atlas Energy, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, No. 5990-VCL (Del. Ch.) (settlement of \$7.45 million in additional merger consideration for Atlas Energy shareholders); *In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, Cons. C.A. No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch.) (on the heels of their expedited discovery and preliminary injunction application, the Firm helped obtain tens of millions of dollars of extra consideration for Great Wolf shareholders, as well as eliminated "don't ask/don't waive" standstill agreements); and *In Re Tower Group Int'l Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 13-5852 (S.D.N.Y.) (settlement of \$20.5 million).

Education

- University of Southern California School of Law, J.D., 1995
- Queens College of the City University of New York, B.S., high honors, 1992, Phi Beta Kappa

Admissions

New York

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Second Circuit
- Third Circuit
- Eighth Circuit
- Ninth Circuit

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- Eastern District of New York
- District of Colorado
- Eastern District of Wisconsin



1 Mr. Ottensoser recently won a two-day bench trial in New York State Supreme Court on  
2 behalf of one of the Firm's clients, in a matter relating to architectural and engineering matters.

3 Mr. Ottensoser also lectures on the issues of civil procedure, federal practice, class actions,  
4 securities regulation, corporate finance and corporate governance, and has written extensively  
5 and been published on those matters. Mr. Ottensoser is also a frequent guest lecturer at Harvard  
6 Law School, lecturing most recently in October 2017 on "Kinross: the Anatomy of a Securities  
7 Class Action," in March 2017 on developments in Delaware litigation relating to statutory  
8 appraisal rights (at the 6<sup>th</sup> Annual Harvard Association for Law and Business Symposium), in  
9 January 2017 on "Recent Developments in Merger and Acquisition Litigation and Third-Party  
10 Liability," in January 2016 on "Discounted Cash Flow Analyses: The Wrong Inputs Can Lead to  
11 Catastrophe," in February 2015 on "Investment Banking: The Critical Component in Merger  
12 and Acquisition Litigation," in January 2014 on "Stock Valuation in the Context of Delaware  
13 Litigation and Appraisal Actions," in January 2013 on the topic of "Valuation of Securities: The  
14 Devil Is In The Details," in October 2010 on the topic of "*Global v. Golden and Maric v. Plato*:  
15 The Importance of Discounted Cash Flow Analyses in Delaware Litigation," and, in September  
16 2009 on the topic of "Merger Multiples in the Context of Delaware Litigation." In addition, Mr.  
17 Ottensoser has co-authored many articles including: "Developments in Private Securities  
18 Litigation Pleading Standards and Other Significant Case Law Developments," PRACTISING LAW  
19 INSTITUTE 33RD ANNUAL INSTITUTE ON SECURITIES REGULATION, November 8-10, 2001 and  
20 "Leveraged Buyouts – Issues From the Shareholder Plaintiffs' Perspective," ASSOCIATION OF  
21 THE BAR OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, LEVERAGED BUYOUTS AND OTHER PRIVATE EQUITY  
22 INVESTMENTS, STRUCTURING AND NEGOTIATING INVESTMENTS IN TODAY'S MARKET, September  
23 24, 2001.

24 Mr. Ottensoser is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York and to practice before the  
25 United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Eighth, and Ninth Circuits, and the  
26 United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of  
27 Colorado, and the Eastern District of Wisconsin. Mr. Ottensoser has served as a member of the  
28 Special Committee on Mergers, Acquisitions and Corporate Control Contests of the Association  
29 of the Bar of the City of New York (now known as the New York City Bar Association).

**MICHAEL S. BIGIN**

PARTNER

Michael S. Bigin received his bachelor's degrees in 1995 from the State University of New York at Oswego (B.A., History; B.S., Business Administration), and received his J.D. in 1999 from St. John's University School of Law, where he was a Law School Academic Scholarship recipient, a member of the AMERICAN BANKRUPTCY INSTITUTE LAW REVIEW, and a member of the Moot Court Honor Society.

Mr. Bigin has been named a Super Lawyer by SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE in 2017. In 2014, Mr. Bigin was selected to SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE's New York Metro Rising Stars list for his work in securities litigation and was recommended by THE LEGAL 500 UNITED STATES in 2013 and 2016.

Mr. Bigin has practiced securities litigation for over 15 years. Before joining the Firm, Mr. Bigin was an associate at a Manhattan law firm where he represented plaintiffs in securities class actions, including: *In re Computer Associates Securities Litigation*, No. 02-CV-1226 (E.D.N.Y.) (settlement of 5.7 million shares, value estimated at \$134 million) and *In re IKON Office Solutions, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 98-CV-4606 (E.D. Pa.) (\$111 million recovery).

While at the Firm, Mr. Bigin has worked on numerous class actions that have resulted in substantial recoveries for investors, including: *In re Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 04-CV-8144 (CM) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$400 million recovery); *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport Securities Litigation*, No. 04-374 (JAP) (D.N.J.) (\$166.6 million recovery); *In re Cigna Corp. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-CV-8088 (MMB) (E.D. Pa.) (\$93 million recovery); *City of Austin Police Retirement System v. Kinross Gold Corp.*, No. 12-CV-01203-VEC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$33 million recovery); *In re Gilat Satellite Networks, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-CV-1510 (E.D.N.Y.) (\$20 million); *In re Terayon Communication Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. C-00-1967 (N.D. Cal.) (\$15 million); and *Szymborski v. Ormat Technologies, Inc.*, No. 10-CV-00132-ECR (D. Nev.) (\$3.1 million settlement representing approximately 21.5% of damages, which is more than four times the average recovery for similar actions according to a study by experts at Cornerstone Research).

Currently, Mr. Bigin continues to represent investors in various class actions alleging violations of the securities laws. For example, Mr. Bigin represents the Oklahoma Firefighters Pension and Retirement System in *Avila v. LifeLock Inc.*, 15-cv-01398-SRB (D. Arizona), a securities fraud action alleging that executives made material misrepresentations to investors concerning LifeLock's identity protection business and the status of a Federal Trade Commission investigation. Mr. Bigin also represented investors in *Peters v. Jinkosolar Holding Co. Inc.*, No. 11-CV-07133-JPO (S.D.N.Y.) (\$5.05 million settlement with distribution pending), a case that alleged the company misled investors about its environmental compliance. Prior to that settlement, Mr. Bigin successfully argued an appeal before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, which reversed a dismissal of the case and affirmed the materiality standard for securities' actions.

Education

- St. John's University School of Law, J.D., 1999
- State University of New York at Oswego, B.A., B.S., 1995

Admissions

New York

Connecticut

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Second Circuit
- Eleventh Circuit

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- Eastern District of New York

In addition to securities class actions, Mr. Bigin represents clients in commercial disputes, commercial insurance matters, and claims asserting investors' rights and consumer protection. For example, in 2016, Mr. Bigin won summary judgment of a \$1.9 million fee dispute after completing discovery, which involved obtaining testimony from multiple, senior partners of law firms.

Mr. Bigin is admitted to practice in the States of New York and Connecticut, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

## STEPHANIE M. BEIGE

PARTNER

**Stephanie M. Beige** received her bachelor's degree in 1996 from Dowling College, graduating magna cum laude, and received her J.D. in 2000 from Touro College Jacob D. Fuchsberg Law Center, graduating summa cum laude, where she was a member of the Touro Law Review.

Ms. Beige focuses her practice on commercial litigation, securities litigation, and employment litigation, including workplace sexual harassment and discrimination claims and ERISA litigation. She has devoted her entire career to representing plaintiffs in litigation. She has been recommended by THE LEGAL 500 for her work in the securities litigation field (2013, 2015 and 2016) and has been named a Super Lawyer by SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE in 2016 and 2017.

During the past seventeen years, Ms. Beige has been involved in the successful prosecution of numerous class actions on behalf of aggrieved investors. Notably, she was a member of the team representing the State of New Jersey, Department of Treasury, Division of Investment, as co-lead plaintiff in *In re Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.) where a \$400 million recovery was obtained for investors. The litigation was brought against the world's largest insurance broker, Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc., in connection with the company's improper practice of steering its clients to insurance companies that agreed to pay it billions of dollars in contingent commissions. The \$400 million settlement was reached after five years of hard-fought litigation which included over 100 depositions and over 36 million pages of document discovery. Ms. Beige also represented the Mississippi Public Employees' Retirement System in *In re Cigna Corp. Securities Litigation* (E.D. Pa.), a securities class action which settled on the eve of trial for \$93 million dollars. Other successes include: *In re TASER International Securities Litigation* (D. Ariz.) (\$20 million recovery); *Rush v. Footstar, Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$19.3 million recovery); and *In re SeeBeyond Technologies Securities Litigation* (C.D. Cal.) (\$13.1 million recovery).

Ms. Beige litigated an individual action on behalf of the New Mexico Public Employees Retirement Association ("PERA") against Wells Fargo Bank and affiliates arising from defendants' mismanagement of PERA's securities lending program. Ms. Beige was instrumental in the

### Education

- Touro College Jacob D. Fuchsberg Law Center, J.D.,  
summa cum laude, 2000
- Dowling College, B.S.,  
magna cum laude, 1996

### Admissions

New York

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Second Circuit

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- District of Colorado
- Eastern District of Wisconsin

1 negotiation of a \$50 million recovery for PERA – obtained on the eve of trial – representing over  
2 65% of PERA’s damages.

3 Ms. Beige is currently working on several securities fraud class actions against numerous  
4 issuers for allegedly misleading investors, including *In re Tremont Securities Law, State Law and*  
5 *Insurance Litigation*, No. 08-CV-11117 (S.D.N.Y.) (a partial settlement in excess of \$100 million  
6 approved), in which the Firm represents investors who lost millions of dollars in hedge funds that  
7 invested with Bernard L. Madoff.

8 Ms. Beige is also representing a screenwriter in an intellectual property claim against 20th  
9 Century Fox Television and others in *Lewis v. 20th Century Fox Television, Inc. et al.*, alleging that  
10 defendants used the writer’s teleplay (“The Godmother”) without his permission and without  
11 compensation, as a basis for the Fox TV program *The Mick*.

12 She is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice before the United  
13 States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and the United States District Courts for the  
14 Southern District of New York, the District of Colorado, and the Eastern District of Wisconsin.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27



**DANIEL C. BURKE**

PARTNER

**Daniel C. Burke** earned his bachelor's degree in 1990 from the State University of New York at Albany (B.A., English/History), and earned his J.D. in 1993 from St. John's University School of Law, where he was a member of ST. JOHN'S JOURNAL OF LEGAL COMMENTARY.

Mr. Burke was recognized as a leader in the areas of class actions and mass torts by SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE from 2013-2017. In addition, he was named as one of the National Trial Lawyers Top 100 for 2014, and one of the Nation's Top One Percent by the National Association of Distinguished Counsel in 2015.

Mr. Burke's practice is focused on mass tort pharmaceutical, medical device and consumer products litigation. He has actively litigated high-profile cases on behalf of thousands of injured plaintiffs in cases involving prescription drugs including Yaz/Yasmin and Plavix, medical devices such as the Biomet M2a Magnum hip prosthesis and Zimmer Nexgen knee prosthesis, as well as consumer products including Fixodent and Poligrip denture adhesives and ReNu with MoistureLoc contact lens solution. He has supervised the day-to-day management of complex, multi-party mass tort litigation in state and federal courts and multi-district litigation throughout the United States.

In recognition of his extensive experience in these areas by his peers and the Courts, he has been invited to serve in a number of leadership positions in many successful mass tort litigation cases including the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: Biomet M2a Magnum Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation* (MDL 2391), *Liaison Counsel in the New York Coordinated Plavix-Related Proceedings* (Index No. 560001/12), Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: Zimmer Nexgen Knee Implant Products Liability Litigation* (MDL 2272), Discovery and Law & Briefing Sub-Committees for *In re: Denture Cream Products Liability Litigation* (MDL 2051); and the Science and Discovery Sub-Committees for *In re: Yasmin & Yaz (Drospirenone) Marketing, Sales Practices & Products Liability Litigation* (MDL 2100).

Currently, Mr. Burke is representing plaintiffs in a wide array of drug litigations including those involving PPIs (Nexium, Prilosec, Prevacid), Zofran, Fluoroquinolone Antibiotics, Testosterone Replacement Therapy, Incretins (Onglyza/Kombiglyze), SGLT-2 Inhibitors (Invokana, Farxiga), Abilify, Actemra, Mirena IUD, Actos, Fosamax, Xarelto, Taxotere and Risperdal. Additionally, he is litigating matters involving medical devices including Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Blankets, IVC Filters, Defective Hip, Knee, Shoulder & Elbow Implants, Transvaginal and Hernia Mesh and Power Morcellators. He is also investigating consumer product claims related to various cancers caused by Cell Phone Radiation and the use of Talc, as well as injury claims on behalf of infants who used Teething Tablets.

Mr. Burke is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York. He is also admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern, Eastern and Northern Districts of New York.

**Education**

- J.D., St. John's University School of Law, 1993
- B.A., State University of New York at Albany, 1990

**Admissions**

New York

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- Eastern District of New York
- Northern District of New York

**LAURENCE J. HASSON**  
PARTNER

**Laurence J. Hasson** received his bachelor's degree in 2003 from Brandeis University (B.A., History and American Studies), graduating *magna cum laude* and with Phi Beta Kappa and Phi Alpha Theta honors, and received his J.D. in 2006 from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, where he was a Heyman Scholar, a board member of the award-winning Moot Court Honors Society, and competitively selected to participate in the Bet Tzedek Legal Services Clinic.

Mr. Hasson concentrates his practice on securities, commercial, and complex class action litigation, and he is also a member of the Firm's *qui tam*/whistleblower practice group. Mr. Hasson has been selected by SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE to the New York Metro Rising Stars list for 2015-2017. He was also recommended by THE LEGAL 500 in 2013.

Prior to becoming associated with the Firm in June 2012, Mr. Hasson was an associate at a large Manhattan class action firm, where he focused on advising institutional investors worldwide on securities litigation and litigation strategy.

While at the Firm, Mr. Hasson has worked on numerous class actions that have resulted in substantial recoveries for investors, including: *City of Austin Police Retirement System v. Kinross Gold Corporation*, No. 12-CV-01203-VEC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$33 million recovery); *In re Tower Group International, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, 13-CV-5852-AT (S.D.N.Y.) (settlement of \$20.5 million with Tower defendants); *Peters v. Jinkosolar Holding Co., Ltd.*, No. 11-CV-07133-JPO (S.D.N.Y.) (\$5.05 million recovery); and *In re KIT Digital, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 12-CV-4199 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$6 million recovery).

Mr. Hasson was also competitively selected to join the Federal Bar Council's Inn of Court, through which he, along with a small team led by a federal judge, develops and presents programming for continuing legal education. Mr. Hasson has presented in several such programs, including:

- "First Amendment and National Security," which was held on January 8, 2013 at the Theodore Roosevelt United States Courthouse in Brooklyn, New York;
- "Who Owns the Past? Cultural Property Repatriation and Where We Are Today," which was held on December 9, 2014 at the Museum of Jewish Heritage in New York, New York;
- "United States v. New York Times: A Reenactment of The Pentagon Papers Case," which was held on January 15, 2015 at the Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse in New York, New York. This presentation was part of the 225th

**Education**

- Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, J.D., 2006
- Brandeis University, B.A., *magna cum laude*, 2003

**Admissions**

New York

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Second Circuit

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- Eastern District of New York

Anniversary Celebration of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York;

- “Sex, Lies, Still Photos & Videotape. Many Wrongs? Any Rights?,” which was held on April 12, 2016 at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse in New York, New York; and
- “The Current Wars”, which was held on November 15, 2016 at the Theodore Roosevelt United States Courthouse in Brooklyn, New York.
- 

Mr. Hasson is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York and to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**REUBEN S. KERBEN**  
OF COUNSEL

**Reuben S. Kerben** received his bachelor's degree in 2004 from the Sy Syms School of Business at Yeshiva University (B.S., Business Management), and earned his J.D. in 2009 from the Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University. During college Mr. Kerben received several awards following his participation in business competitions, including the Syracuse University Panasci Business Plan Competition, the Yeshiva University Dr. William Schwartz Student Business Plan Competition and the Palo Alto Software Business Plan Competition.

Prior to law school, Mr. Kerben was the founder and chief executive officer of Spiral Universe Inc., a cloud based educational software company which was later acquired by Software Technology, Inc.

Mr. Kerben is active in the Firm's mass tort practice, focusing in the areas of pharmaceutical liability and defective medical devices. Currently, he is involved with cases associated with prescription drugs, such as Risperdal and Zofran, and defective medical devices, such as Transvaginal Mesh and Mirena IUD.

Mr. Kerben has argued appeals before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and has represented defendants in felony trials in New York City. Mr. Kerben is committed to pro bono practice; having represented many immigrant children facing deportation before the Immigration Courts in New York, New York.

Mr. Kerben is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York and to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**Education**

- Maurice A. Dean School of Law at Hofstra University, J.D., 2009
- Sy Syms School of Business at Yeshiva University, B.S., 2004

**Admissions**

New York

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- Eastern District of New York



**DANA STATSKY SMITH**  
SENIOR COUNSEL

**Dana Statsky Smith** received her bachelor's degree in 2002 from Binghamton University (B.A., Environmental Studies, with a concentration in Public Policy, Administration, and Law), graduating *cum laude*, and received her J.D. in 2005 from the Fordham University School of Law, where she was a member of the INTERNATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, and where she served as a legal intern in a non-profit legal clinic, the Lincoln Square Legal Services, Inc. Ms. Smith has been selected by SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE for inclusion on their New York Metro Rising Stars list for her work in antitrust litigation for 2015, 2016 and 2017.

Ms. Smith is currently working on several antitrust and consumer class action litigation matters, including: *In re Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation*, No. 08-MD-2002 (E.D. Pa.) (partial settlements in excess of \$50 million); *In Re: Pool Products Distribution Market Antitrust Litigation*, No. 12-MD-2328 (E.D. La.) (partial settlement of \$15.95 million); *In re Packaged Seafood Products Antitrust Litigation*, No. 15-md-02670 (S.D. Cal.); and *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation*, No. 16-cv-08637 (N.D. Ill.).

Ms. Smith worked on *In Re Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2196 (N.D. Ohio), a case involving a price-fixing conspiracy by some of the world's largest manufacturers of flexible polyurethane foam. The case settled just days before trial (preliminary approval granted, final approval against some of the Defendants pending for settlements in excess of \$400 million).]

In 2009, Ms. Smith was a member of a trial team winning a \$14.7 million jury verdict under the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act ("CUTPA") for a class of Connecticut independent automobile repair shops against The Hartford Fire Insurance Company ("The Hartford"). The case was litigated for over six years in Connecticut Superior Court (Stamford-Norwalk Division), including a three-week jury selection process and a four week jury trial. The verdict provided a recovery for a class of approximately 1,500 independent auto body shops whose labor rates for work performed on automobiles insured by The Hartford had been suppressed for years. Four years later, in 2013, the Court issued a permanent injunction against The Hartford and also awarded the class \$20 million in punitive damages. The punitive damage award is the largest awarded by a judge in the history of CUTPA.

Ms. Smith has also been involved in several securities fraud class actions that were successfully prosecuted on behalf of aggrieved investors, such as *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport Securities Litigation*, No. 04-374 (JAP) (D.N.J.) (\$166.6 recovery).

Ms. Smith is admitted to the Bars of the States of New York and New Jersey. She is also admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**Education**

- Fordham University  
School of Law, J.D., 2005
- Binghamton University,  
B.A., *cum laude*, 2002

**Admissions**

New York

New Jersey

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District  
of New York
- Eastern District of  
New York



**JOSEPH R. SEIDMAN, JR.**  
ASSOCIATE

**Joseph R. Seidman, Jr.** received his bachelor's degree in 1994 from Queens College of the City University of New York and received his J.D. in 1997 from St. John's University School of Law.

Mr. Seidman is a member of the Firm's Portfolio Monitoring and Case Evaluation team, where he investigates new matters involving potential violations of the federal securities laws and state laws, works extensively with individual and institutional clients, and handles all aspects of lead plaintiff proceedings pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act.

Mr. Seidman has worked on numerous securities fraud cases from inception through settlement, including: *In re Beazer Homes U.S.A., Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 07-CV-725-CC (N.D. Ga.) (\$30.5 million recovery); *In re Taser International Securities Litigation*, No. C05-0115 (D. Ariz.) (\$20 million recovery); *In re Willbros Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 06-CV-1778 (S.D. Tex.) (\$10.5 million recovery); *In re KIT digital, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 12-CV-4199 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$6,001,999 recovery); *City of Austin Police & Retirement Fund v. Kinross Gold Corp.*, No. 12-CV-1203 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$33 million recovery); *In re Tower Group International, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, 13-CV-5852 (S.D.N.Y.) (partial settlement of \$20.5 million); *In re Biolase, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 13-1300-JLS (FFMx) (C.D. Cal.) (\$1.75 million recovery); and *Peters v. JinkoSolar Holding Ltd.*, 11-CV-7133 (S.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Seidman was part of the team that successfully litigated an appeal before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, which reversed a dismissal of the *JinkoSolar* case and affirmed the materiality standard for securities actions. Mr. Seidman also worked on *In re Tremont Securities Law, State Law and Insurance Litigation*, which settled for over \$100 million.

Mr. Seidman also worked extensively on *In re Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, C.A. No. 8110-VCN (Del. Ch.), a \$153.5 million recovery that represented the second largest derivative settlement in Delaware.

Mr. Seidman is currently working on, among other cases, *Avila v. LifeLock, Inc.*, 2:15-cv-01398-SRB (D. Ariz.) (motion to dismiss complaint pending).

Mr. Seidman has also worked on antitrust cases including *In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1775 (D.D.C.).

Mr. Seidman is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York. He is also admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**Education**

- St. John's University  
School of Law, J.D., 1997
- Queens College of the City  
University of New York,  
B.S., 1994

**Admissions**

New York

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Sixth Circuit

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District  
of New York
- Eastern District of  
New York

**DAE Y. LEE**

ASSOCIATE

**Dae Y. Lee** received his doctorate in 2009 from the Rutgers University, Ernest Mario School of Pharmacy (Pharm.D). He received his J.D. in 2012 from the Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University. In 2017, Mr. Lee was named by The National Trial Lawyers to New York's Top 40 Under 40 Civil Plaintiff's Attorneys.

Mr. Lee focuses his practice in the areas of medical device and pharmaceutical product liability litigation. He has represented the interests of clients in various product liability cases, including, but not limited to Actos, Incretin-based and other diabetes medications, Fresenius GranfuFlo/NaturaLyte dialysate, Da Vinci surgical devices, and power morcellators. Mr. Lee is currently handling litigation involving the prescription drug Risperdal, in which he represents the interests of bellwether trial plaintiffs and frequently makes court appearances for oral arguments. He also represents clients injured by fluoroquinolone antibiotics, testosterone supplements, SGLT-2 diabetes medications, and forced air warming devices used during surgical procedures.

Prior to joining Bernstein Liebhard LLP, Mr. Lee represented injured consumers in pharmaceutical litigation at a Manhattan law firm, where he was involved in case development and investigation, as well as all aspects of pre-trial litigation.

Mr. Lee is admitted to the Bars of the State of New York and New Jersey.

**Education**

- Hofstra University School of Law, J.D., 2012
- Ernest Mario School of Pharmacy at Rutgers University, Pharm. D., 2009

**Admissions**

New York

New Jersey

**PETER J. HARRINGTON**  
ASSOCIATE

**Peter J. Harrington** received his bachelor's degree in 2006 from Fordham University (B.A., Political Science), graduating *cum laude*. He received his J.D. in 2010 from the St. John's University School of Law, where he served as executive notes and comments editor of the JOURNAL OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (formerly the ST. JOHN'S JOURNAL OF LEGAL COMMENTARY). Mr. Harrington authored the article "Untying the Knot: Extending Intestacy Benefits to Non-Traditional Families by Severing the Link to Marriage," 23 J. CIV. RTS. & ECON. DEV. 323 (2011). While in law school, Mr. Harrington was a legal intern in the Mayor of New York City, Michael R. Bloomberg's office and worked for the St. John's University School of Law Securities Arbitration Clinic, representing individual investors on a *pro bono* basis in securities arbitration claims involving misrepresentation, unsuitability, and unauthorized trading.

Mr. Harrington concentrates his practice on securities, commercial, and complex class action litigation. In 2015, 2016 and 2017, Mr. Harrington was selected to the New York Metro Rising Stars list by SUPER LAWYERS MAGAZINE.

Mr. Harrington has worked on several securities fraud class actions including *City of Austin Police Retirement System v. Kinross Gold Corporation*, No. 12 CV-01203-VEC (S.D.N.Y.), in which the Firm recovered \$33 million for investors.

Most recently, Mr. Harrington worked on an individual action brought by the Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico against Wells Fargo Bank and affiliates arising from defendants' mismanagement of the pension fund's securities lending program. The case settled on the eve of trial for \$50 million -- representing over 60% of the plaintiff's alleged damages. Mr. Harrington was also involved in a similar action on behalf of the New Mexico Educational Retirement board that resulted in a \$5 million settlement, representing 54% of the plaintiff's alleged damages.

Mr. Harrington is currently working on a securities fraud class action against LifeLock, Inc. alleging that LifeLock, Inc. and certain of its officers and directors violated the federal securities laws by making material misrepresentations about LifeLock's core business practices and about the severity of the FTC's investigation into the company for violating a 2010 consent order.

He is also a member of the Firm's Portfolio Monitoring and Case Evaluation team, where he investigates new matters involving potential violations of the federal securities laws and state laws, as well as mergers and acquisitions. He is currently investigating several potential new matters on behalf of individual and institutional investors.

Mr. Harrington is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York. He is also admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**Education**

- St. John's University School of Law, J.D., 2010
- Fordham University, B.A., 2006

**Admissions**

New York

U.S. District Courts

- Southern District of New York
- Eastern District of New York

**BLAKE HUNTER YAGMAN**  
ASSOCIATE

**Blake Hunter Yagman** received his bachelor's degree in 2014 from the University of Miami (B.A., History) in Coral Gables, Florida. He received his J.D. in 2017 from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law with a specialization in Intellectual Property and Data Law.

While at Cardozo, Mr. Yagman served as executive vice president of the Sports Law Society. In 2017, Mr. Yagman was a speaker at Cardozo's sports law symposium concerning the intersection of collegiate athletics and antitrust law. While in law school, Mr. Yagman worked as an intern, focusing on class action litigation, sports litigation, and education litigation.

Mr. Yagman has authored a number of articles on the topics of intellectual property and sports law, including "*When the Bully takes the Bully Pulpit*," which discusses the scope of immunity extended to public officials in the face of defamation allegations (as part of Cardozo's 2017 Intellectual Property Colloquium). He currently contributes to one of the American Bar Association's Top-100 legal blogs, THE WHITE BRONCO.

Mr. Yagman concentrates his practice on antitrust, complex class action litigation, and consumer protection.

Mr. Yagman is currently awaiting admission to the Bar of the State of New York.

**Education**

- Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, J.D., 2017
- University of Miami, B.A., 2014

**Admissions**

New York (pending)